UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,450	10/29/2003	Alex Kunzler	31132.165	5975
	7590 04/11/2007 D BOONE, LLP	EXAMINER		
901 MAIN ST SUITE 3100	,	SWIGER III, JAMES L		
DALLAS, TX	75202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	•
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/696,450	KUNZLER, ALEX	KUNZLER, ALEX		
Examiner	Art Unit			
James L. Swiger	3733			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	James L. Swiger	3733						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further compared</li> </ol>			ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		12 20.011),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 004)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timely filed amandme	ent concoling the					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	nowable if submitted in a separate,	umery med amendme	ant canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13.  Other:		. /						
	Jan ( ) 1)	1/						
	EDUARDE/Q	ROBERT	( b					
•	SUPERVISCAL	ENT EXAMINER	10					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is still held that the prior art reads on the claimed invention. With regards to the eccentricity of the guide members, they are still considered eccentric, because the two members, though parallel, are able to rotate with respect to one another and their center points can vary as they are rotated and moved.